Quasars and Cosmology

People (INAF): Paola Marziani (PD), Giovanna M. Stirpe (BO), Mauro D'Onofrio (UniPD, INAF ass.)



Bologna MA1 meeting June 16-17 2016

New developments are paving the road to the use of quasars as distance indicators:

A "main sequence" organizes the diverse quasar properties and makes it possible to identify quasars in different accretion states

Even if major observational constraints indicate powerful high-ionization wind, part of the broad line emitting regions remains "virialized"

A MAIN SEQUENCE FOR TYPE-1 QUASARS

The "main sequence," also known as the "eigenvector 1 sequence"

(Sulentic et al. 2000, 2002, c.f. Shen & Ho 2014)



Radio, IR, UV and soft and hard X-ray properties change systematically along the sequence; the MS allows for the definition of spectral types

> The sequence is a starting point to connect observational parameter spaces to theoretical parameter spaces of quasars seen as accreting systems (Sulentic et al 2014, recent review)

Two populations, A (wind dominated) and B (disk dominated, large fraction radioloud) associated with a critical Eddington ratio and probably with different accretion modes

Extreme Pop. A: highlyaccreting sources, of interest for cosmology (Wang et al. 2013; Marziani & Sulentic 2014)

Several methods aimed at obtaining the Hubble diagram for quasars

Parameters	Basic equation	Reference	Virial	
Hard X-ray slope, velocity dispersion	$\mathcal{D}_{ullet} = rac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left[rac{l_0 \left(1 + a \ln \dot{m}_{15} ight) f_{\scriptscriptstyle ext{BLR}} R_0}{G \kappa_{\scriptscriptstyle ext{B}}} ight]^{1/2(1-lpha)} rac{V_{\scriptscriptstyle ext{FWHM}}^{1/(1-lpha)}}{F_{5100}^{1/2}}.$	Wang et al.2013	V	Eddington standard candles
virial velocity dispersion: FWHM(Hβ) Eddington ratio = const	L ∝ FWHM(Hβ)⁴	Marziani & Sulentic 2014	V	
X-ray variability, velocity dispersion	$\log \frac{L}{\operatorname{erg} \operatorname{s}^{-1}} + 4\log \frac{\operatorname{FWHM}}{10^3 \operatorname{km} \operatorname{s}^{-1}} = \alpha \log \sigma_{\operatorname{rms}}^2 + \beta,$	La Franca et al. 2014	V	
Reverberation mapping time delay τ	τ/√F ∝ d∟	Watson et al 2011, 2013; Czerny et al. 2013; Melia 2015		
non linear relation between soft X and UV	$\log(F_{\mathrm{X}}) = \Phi(F_{\mathrm{UV}}, D_{\mathrm{L}})$ = $\beta' + \gamma \log(F_{\mathrm{UV}}) + 2(\gamma - 1)\log(D_{\mathrm{L}}),$	Risalti & Lusso 2016		
	ParametersHard X-ray slope, velocity dispersionvirial velocity dispersion: FWHM(Hβ) Eddington ratio = constX-ray variability, velocity dispersionX-ray variability, velocity dispersionReverberation mapping time delay τnon linear relation between soft X and UV	ParametersBasic equationHard X-ray slope, velocity dispersion $\mathcal{D}_{\bullet} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left[\frac{l_0 (1 + a \ln \pi h_{15}) f_{\text{BLR}} R_0}{G \kappa_{\text{B}}} \right]^{1/2(1-\alpha)} \frac{V_{\text{PVIIM}}^{1/(1-\alpha)}}{F_{100}^{1/2}}$ virial velocity dispersion: FWHM(H β) Eddington ratio = const $L \propto FWHM(H\beta)^4$ X-ray variability, velocity dispersion $\log \frac{L}{\text{erg s}^{-1}} + 4 \log \frac{FWHM}{10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1}} = \alpha \log \sigma_{\text{ms}}^2 + \beta$,Reverberation mapping time delay T $T/\sqrt{F} \propto dL$ Non linear relation between soft X and UV $\log(F_X) = \Phi(F_{\text{UV}}, D_L)$ $= \beta' + \gamma \log(F_{\text{UV}}) + 2(\gamma - 1)\log(D_L)$,	ParametersBasic equationReferenceHard X-ray slope, velocity dispersion $\mathcal{D}_{\bullet} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left[\frac{l_0 (1 + a \ln m_{15}) f_{BLR} R_0}{G \kappa_B} \right]^{1/2(1-\alpha)} \frac{V_{PVIIA}^{1/(1-\alpha)}}{F_{5100}}$ Wang et al.2013virial velocity dispersion: FWHM(H β) Eddington ratio = constL ~ FWHM(H β)4Marziani & Sulentic 2014X-ray variability, velocity dispersionlog $\frac{L}{erg s^{-1}} + 4 \log \frac{FWHM}{10^3 km s^{-1}} = \alpha \log \sigma_{ms}^2 + \beta$ La Franca et al. 2014Reverberation mapping time delay τ T/ $\sqrt{F} \propto d_L$ Watson et al 2011, 2013; Czerny et al. 2013; Melia 2015non linear relation between soft X and UVlog(Fx) = $\Phi(F_{UV}, D_L)$ = $\beta' + \gamma \log(F_{UV}) + 2(\gamma - 1) \log(D_L)$,Risalti & Lusso 2016	ParametersBasic equationReferenceVirialHard X-ray slope, velocity dispersion $\mathcal{D}_{\bullet} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left[\frac{l_0 (1 + a \ln \pi_{15}) f_{HLR} R_0}{G\kappa_{B}} \right]^{1/2(1-\alpha)} \frac{V_{PWHX}^{1/2(-\alpha)}}{F_{5100}}$ Wang et al.2013Vvirial velocity dispersion: FWHM(H β) $\mathcal{L} \propto FWHM(H\beta)^4$ Marziani & Sulentic 2014VX-ray variability, velocity dispersion $\log \frac{\mathcal{L}}{erg s^{-1}} + 4 \log \frac{FWHM}{10^3 km s^{-1}} = \alpha \log \sigma_{ms}^2 + \beta$,La Franca et al. 2014VReverberation mapping time delay τ $T/\sqrt{F} \propto dL$ Watson et al 2011, 2013; Czerny et al. 2013; Melia 2015Vnon linear relation between soft X and UV $log(F_X) = \Phi(F_{UV}, D_L)$ $= \beta' + \gamma log(F_{UV}) + 2(\gamma - 1)log(D_L)$,Risalti & Lusso 2016V

The physical foundation of xA-based methods is the Eddington ratio "asymptotic" behavior expected from optically thick ADAFs for dimensionless accretion rates >> 1

 $L_{\bullet} = \ell_0 \left(1 + a \ln \dot{m}_{15} \right) M_{\bullet}$

e.g., Mineshige et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2013; Sadowski et al. 2014

A virialized low-ionization broad emission line region

present even at the highest quasar luminosities, coexists with high ionization winds

CIV λ 1549 (high-ionization) vs. H β (low-ionization)

at low z, L< 10^{47} ergs/s

at z ~ 1.5, L> 10⁴⁷ ergs/s





Marziani et al. 2010; Sulentic et al. 2007, Marziani et al. 2016

said parenthetically: use of CIV as a black hole mass estimator can yield to a strong bias dependent on the location of the eigenvector 1 sequence



Extreme Pop. A sources

(super-Eddington accreting massive black holes (SEAMBHs) Wang et al. 2003

Simple selection criteria from diagnostic line ratios 1) optical Fellλ4570 blend/Hβ > 1.0 2) UV AIIII λ1860/SiIII]λ1892>0.5 & SiIII]λ1892/ CIII]λ1909>1



Negrete et al. 2012

Very similar spectrophotometric properties over a range of L ~ 10⁴⁴ - 10⁴⁸ erg/s

 $L(\delta v) \approx \left(\frac{\zeta_{\rm L}^2}{4\pi c h G^2}\right) f_{\rm S}^2 \left(\frac{L}{L_{\rm Edd}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\kappa}{\bar{v}_{\rm i}}\right) \frac{1}{(n_{\rm H}U)} (\delta v)^4,$

Marziani & Sulentic 2014

Advantages	Drawbacks		
Easy to recognize large samples up to z~ 4	The physical basis of the selection criteria is poorly understood		
relevant properties should scatter with small dispersion around a well defined mean	xA sources are poorly understood in terms of emission properties		
Clustering around a limiting Eddington ratio, as expected from theory	Orientation effects on line width not known		
consistent accretion disk properties, similar metal enrichment	Consistency of properties at high and low z barely tested		



Some relevant open issues

relevant for the use of quasars as distance indicators

The **connection between physical (accretion) and observational space**: the eigenvector 1 sequence is still poorly understood. A major feat would be the ability to assign to each quasar a value of M_{BH}, Eddington ratio, spin, and viewing angle with a relatively small uncertainty. (Well-suited for instruments with NUV/Vis/NIR multiplexing ability)

The accretion disk structure and its connection to the **dynamics of the line emitting gas**. (Theoretical modeling and line/continuum fitting)

The **anisotropy** and multifrequency properties of radio-quiet quasars

The **metal enrichment** of the broad line region from circumnuclear star formation (SPH, chemo-dynamical modeling of galactic nuclei)

The potential for cosmology is enormous.



 χ^2

Constraints from a sample of 400 mock quasars with rms = 0.4 dex over 1 < z < 3 using the method based on xA and the supernova photometric survey (Campbell et al. 2013)