The Formation of Massive Black Holes: a Review

Francesco Haardt University of Insubria@Lake Como INFN, Milano-Bicocca Understanding the formation and growth of MBHs along the Cosmic history.

- 1. How fast can MBHs grow to 10^9 - $10^{10} M_{\odot}$?
- 2. How many of them along the Cosmic history?

We need to understand the physics of black hole *and* galaxy mergers.





I. There seems to be little or no correlation between mass and velocity dispersion (Wang et al. 2010).

2. Typically black holes are 'over massive' at fixed mass/velocity dispersion compared to z=0 counterparts (e.g. Walter et al 2004; Decarli et al. 2010; Merloni 2010).

3. Studies suggest that either many massive galaxies do not have MBHs or these BH are less massive than expected (willott et al. 2010).



When do you make the first SMBHs?

The QSO ULASJI 12010641 at z=7.1 has an estimated SMBH mass MBH~2X10⁹ M_☉ (Mortlock et al. 2011)



Accretion time needed by a BH to reach a given final mass:

$$M(t) = M_0 e^{f_{\rm Edd} \frac{t}{\tau} \frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon}}$$

$$t_{\rm acc} = 0.45 \text{ Gyr} \frac{\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} f_{\rm Edd}^{-1} \ln(M_{\rm fin}/M_{\rm in})$$

Accretion time needed by a BH to reach a given final mass:

$$M(t) = M_0 e^{f_{\rm Edd} \frac{t}{\tau} \frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon}}$$

$$t_{\rm acc} = 0.45 \text{ Gyr} \frac{\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} f_{\rm Edd}^{-1} \ln(M_{\rm fin}/M_{\rm in})$$

$$\begin{array}{l} M_{fin} = 10^9 \ M_{\odot} \\ M_{in} = 10^2 \ M_{\odot} \ (10^5 \ M_{\odot}) \\ f_{Edd} = 1 \\ \epsilon = 0.1 \\ r > t_{acc} = 0.8 \ Gyrs \ (0.45 \ Gyrs) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} t_{H}(z=7) = 0.75 \ Gyrs \end{array}$$

t_H(z=6)=0.9 Gyrs



How do we make SMBH seeds?

DARK AGES

The fate of DM:

CDM: Small scales collapse first BOTTOM-UP HIERARCHY

DM-HALOS COLLAPSE AS: M=10¹⁵/(1+z)⁶ M $_{\odot}$



DARK AGES

The fate of DM:

CDM: Small scales collapse first BOTTOM-UP HIERARCHY

DM-HALOS COLLAPSE AS: $M=10^{15}/(1+z)^{6} M_{\odot}$

The fate of baryons:



At $z \approx 130$ baryons are free to fall into DM halos

COOLING TIME >> HUBBLE TIME -> ADIABATIC COLLAPSE Baryons virialize as DM particles

COOLING TIME << HUBBLE TIME → ISOTHERMAL COLLAPSE Baryons fall into DM potential wells → Self-gravitating baryonic objects (POPIII) → END OF THE DARK AGES (z≈25)



Heger & Woosley 2002



z≈20-30 М_{вн}~100-600 М⊙

thanks to Raffaella Schneider



Fig. 2. UV radiative feedback from the primordial protostar. The spatial distributions of gas temperature (left), number density (right), and velocity (right, arrows) are presented in each panel for the central regions of the computational domain. The four panels show snapshots at times when the stellar mass is $M_* = 20 M_{\odot}$ (**A**), 25 M_{\odot} (**B**), 35 M_{\odot} (**C**), and 42 M_{\odot} (**D**). The elapsed time since the birth of the primordial protostar is labeled in each panel.

The Fate of POPIII Stars: Feedback Effects



The Fate of POPIII Stars: Feedback Effects



The Fate of POPIII Stars: Feedback Effects



POPIIIs: massive but not very massive (~45 M_{\odot}). Accretion rate onto the remnant: very sub-Eddington.



z≈20-30 М_{вн}~100-600 М⊙

thanks to Raffaella Schneider



 $M_{BH} < 40 M_{\odot}$

thanks to Raffaella Schneider



e.g., Bromm & Loeb 2003, Begelman, Volonteri & Rees 2006

Deep potential well for gas infall and collapse

☑ <u>Global dynamical instabilities</u> to trigger inflow and dissipate angular momentum

Inflow and formation of a super-massive star (quasistar) that collapses into a BH

e.g., Bromm & Loeb 2003, Begelman, Volonteri & Rees 2006

Deep potential well for gas infall and collapse

☑ <u>Global dynamical instabilities</u> to trigger inflow and dissipate angular momentum

Inflow and formation of a super-massive star (quasistar) that collapses into a BH

Problems

Mangular momentum dissipation

Star Formation along the long the way down

- Efficient gas collapse in halos with $T_{vir} > 10^4$ K (metal free and no H₂ cooling)
- No fragmentation and star formation (Haehnelt & Rees 1993, Begelman et al. 2006)
- Atomic cooling down to 4,000 K (collisionally excited Lyα emission), isothermal collapse, then adiabatic
- Local Lyman-Werner UV to prevent H_2 formation (o.w. turbulent medium)
- Collapsing gas settles into a rotationally supported disk.
- Scale radius: ~ 20 pc (for $M_{halo} = 10^8 M_{\odot}$)

- Efficient gas collapse in halos with $T_{vir} > 10^4$ K (metal free and no H₂ cooling)
- No fragmentation and star formation (Haehnelt & Rees 1993, Begelman et al. 2006)
- Atomic cooling down to 4,000 K (collisionally excited Lyα emission), isothermal collapse, then adiabatic
- Local Lyman-Werner UV to prevent H_2 formation (o.w. turbulent medium)
- Collapsing gas settles into a rotationally supported disk.
- Scale radius: ~ 20 pc (for $M_{halo} = 10^8 M_{\odot}$)
- Angular momentum problem solved if: a) DM halo with very low spin $(\lambda_{spin} << 0.04)$; b) presence of low angular momentum gas; c) onset of global dynamical instabilities, bars-within-bars (Shlosman et al. 1989 Begelman et al. 2006).
- A bar can transport angular momentum outwards on a dynamical timescale via gravitational and hydrodynamical torques, allowing the radius to shrink.
- If gas can cool, this shrinkage leads to even greater instability on shorter timescale, and the process cascades

Hopkins & Quataert 2010

Intermediate-Scale Re-Simulation:



- If this all works, typical gas masses within the central few pc can reach $10^4\text{--}10^5~M_{\odot}$
- A Very Massive Star forms with $M_{star} \sim 10^4 M_{\odot}$. Numerical full-GR calculations predict the core of the VMS collapses into a few M_{\odot} Kerr BH (via neutrino cooling). A *Quasistar* is formed: a stellar-size BH embedded into a very massive stellar envelope
- Ultrafast accretion at Eddington rate (where mass is the Quasistar mass)
- The 10 M_{\odot} BH can swallow 1-10% of the Quasistar envelope: MBH reaches $10^4\text{--}10^5~M_{\odot}$

Begelman et al. 2006

- If this all works, typical gas masses within the central few pc can reach $10^4\text{-}10^5~M_{\odot}$
- A Very Massive Star forms with $M_{star} \sim 10^4 M_{\odot}$. Numerical full-GR calculations predict the core of the VMS collapses into a few M_{\odot} Kerr BH (via neutrino cooling). A *Quasistar* is formed: a stellar-size BH embedded into a very massive stellar envelope
- Ultrafast accretion at Eddington rate (where mass is the Quasistar mass)
- The 10 M_{\odot} BH can swallow 1-10% of the Quasistar envelope: MBH reaches $10^4\text{--}10^5~M_{\odot}$

Begelman et al. 2006

Instead of going into BH formation, collapsing gas can fragment and form stars





Star Formation and Run-Away Collapse

e.g., Devecchi & Volonteri 2009

- If gas is metal enriched by first POPIII stars can not avoid fragmentation
- Local dynamical instabilities lead to mass infall
- The inflow produces an inner, compact, very dense star cluster
- Mass segregation: more massive stars sink to the centre of the cluster
- Stellar collisions form a VMS
- The VMS accretes gas. BH remnant of $10^3 M_{\odot}$ (Begelman & Rees 1978, Freitag et al. 2006)

Star Formation and Run-Away Collapse

e.g., Devecchi & Volonteri 2009

- If gas is metal enriched by first POPIII stars can not avoid fragmentation
- Local dynamical instabilities lead to mass infall
- The inflow produces an inner, compact, very dense star cluster
- Mass segregation: more massive stars sink to the centre of the cluster
- Stellar collisions form a VMS
- The VMS accretes gas. BH remnant of $10^3 M_{\odot}$ (Begelman & Rees 1978, Freitag et al. 2006)

- This route happens above a critical metallicity: Z>10⁻⁵ Z_☉. But Z needs not to be too high to avoid excessive gas consumption by star formation
- Time for mass segregation must be << main-sequence timescale (3 Myrs) to avoid SN driven mass-loss, and formation of compact objects (small cross section)

AGN11/Trieste, Sep. 2014

The Nature of BH seeds from Volonteri 2010



Low Mass Seeds vs High Mass Seeds

Volonteri & Gnedin 2009



Low Mass Seeds vs High Mass Seeds

Volonteri 2010

Madau & Rees 2001













SMBHs at "Low" Redshifts by Gas Collapse

- Simulation of two well-formed hi-z MW-like galaxies merger
- Self-gravitating turbulent nuclear disk (r~40 pc, M~2x10⁹ M $_{\odot}$) forms
- Gravo-turbulent instabilities drive the gas toward the centre at very high rate, $10^4~M_{\odot}/yr$
- A ~1pc size spherical structure grows by 13% of the disk mass (>10⁸ M_☉) in 0.1 Myr. T~10⁷K prevents fragmentation.
- The core collapse into a BH + envelope = Quasistar
- The key point: $\dot{M} \approx M_J / t_{ff} = \frac{\pi^2}{8G} c_s^3 \simeq 5 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{T}{10^7 K}\right)^{3/2} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}yr^{-1}}$

High temperature is instrumental in keeping Mdot so high

SMBHs at "Low" Redshifts by Gas Collapse

- Simulation of two well-formed hi-z MW-like galaxies merger
- Self-gravitating turbulent nuclear disk (r~40 pc, M~2x10⁹ M_{\odot}) forms
- Gravo-turbulent instabilities drive the gas toward the centre at very high rate, $10^4~M_{\odot}/yr$
- A ~1pc size spherical structure grows by 13% of the disk mass (>10⁸ M_☉) in 0.1 Myr. T~10⁷K prevents fragmentation.
- The core collapse into a BH + envelope = Quasistar
- The key point: $\dot{M} \approx M_J/t_{ff} = \frac{\pi^2}{8G}c_s^3 \simeq 5 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{T}{10^7 K}\right)^{3/2} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$

High temperature is *instrumental* in keeping Mdot so high

- Would T be so high with a realistic thermodynamics? (the simulation uses a polytropic EoS)
- On which timescale is turbulence (the source of energy) dissipated?

Conclusions

Light Seeds

- POPIII stars form copiously in the high-z Universe ($z\sim 20$).
- M_{star} ~45 M_{\odot} —> M_{BH} <45 M_{\odot}
- Accretion rate very low because of UV feedback (but simulations need to be truly cosmological)

Conclusions

Light Seeds

- POPIII stars form copiously in the high-z Universe ($z\sim 20$).
- M_{star} ~45 M_{\odot} —> M_{BH} <45 M_{\odot}
- Accretion rate very low because of UV feedback (but simulations need to be truly cosmological)

Heavy Seeds

- Direct gas collapse at high-z (z~10) needs low angular momentum haloes and high UV-H₂ dissociating flux. J_{crit}? (see Latif et al. 2014)
- Physics of Quasistar? Bars-in-bars cascade? Need more studies.
- If metal polluted, (i.e., lower z) runaway collisions (need Z fine tuning?)

Conclusions

Light Seeds

- POPIII stars form copiously in the high-z Universe ($z\sim 20$).
- M_{star} ~45 M_{\odot} —> M_{BH} <45 M_{\odot}
- Accretion rate very low because of UV feedback (but simulations need to be truly cosmological)

Heavy Seeds

- Direct gas collapse at high-z (z~10) needs low angular momentum haloes and high UV-H₂ dissociating flux. J_{crit}? (see Latif et al. 2014)
- Physics of Quasistar? Bars-in-bars cascade? Need more studies.
- If metal polluted, (i.e., lower z) runaway collisions (need Z fine tuning?)

Observations

- Imprint of seeds on occupation fraction.
- Observations tell nothing so far.
- Future GW observations (eLISA) of the MBH merger rate at very-high redshifts.

SuperEddington accretion (slim disks)

Madau, FH & Dotti 2014

Madau, FH & Dotti 2014

SuperEddington accretion at not-so high z

