SUPERNOVA 1987A: THE BIRTH OF A SUPERNOVA REMNANT Dick McCray JILA, U. of Colorado

- Introduction
- Circumstellar rings
- Hotspots
- X-ray emission
- The future

#### **HISTORICAL SUPERNOVAE**

Date (AD)	Туре	Magnitude	<b>Discovered by</b>	Remnant
		at Max		

1006	I	-10	Chinese/Arabs	SN1006
1054	Ш	-5	China/Japan	Crab
1181	Ш	-1	China/Japan	<b>3C58</b>
1572	I	-4	Tycho Brahe	Tycho
1604	I	-3	Kepler	Kepler
ca. 1680	Ш	5?	Flamsteed	Cas A
1987	II	+2.9	lan Shelton	SN1987A

## Supernova Energy Sources

- Core collapse: E ~ GM<sup>2</sup>/R ~ 0.1 Mc<sup>2</sup> ~ 10<sup>53</sup> ergs Neutrinos: t ~ 10s
- Radioactivity: 0.07 M<sub>□</sub>[<sup>56</sup>Ni □ <sup>56</sup>Co □ <sup>56</sup>Fe] ~ 10<sup>49</sup> ergs. Light: t ~ 3 months
- Kinetic energy: ~  $10 M_{\odot}$ , V<sub>expansion</sub> ~  $3000 \text{ km/s} \sim 10^{51} \text{ ergs}$  ~ 1% core collapse. Xrays: t ~ centuries.

#### Core collapse: E ~ GM<sup>2</sup>/R ~ 0.1 Mc<sup>2</sup> ~ 10<sup>53</sup> ergs Neutrinos: t ~ 10s



#### •Radioactivity: $0.07 \text{ M}_{\circ}[{}^{56}\text{Ni} \ \odot \ {}^{56}\text{Co} \ \odot \ {}^{56}\text{Fe}] \sim 10^{49} \text{ ergs.}$ Light: t ~ 3 months



SN: light comes from interior of debris

SNR: light from crash



#### **Circumstellar Rings**



## What we know about the rings

- were ejected 20,000 years before explosion
- density ~ 10<sup>4</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>
- ionized mass ~ 0.07  $\rm M_{\odot}$
- were photoionized by initial X-ray flash (~ 1 day)
- they are only the inner surfaces of a much greater mass, ~ several  $\rm M_{\odot}$







### **Optical Hotspots!**



2006 - 2003 difference





- Ring brightened by factor ~ 3
  Hotspots still unresolved
- •Have not fully merged

What caused fingers? Why so regularly spaced?

#### **HST-STIS** Spectroscopy of Spot 1





H $\alpha$ : FWHM ~ 300 km s<sup>-1</sup>



### Hydro Simulation of Blast Wave Overtaking Protrusion





#### What we know about the hot spots

- Most spots appeared first on NE and SE quadrants of ring
- Spots now encircle entire ring
- Densities ~ 10<sup>6</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> (from forbidden line ratios)
- Most are unresolved: < 1 WFPC pixel
- Optical emission lines caused by radiative shocks
- Faster non-radiative shocks must be present but are invisible in optical & UV

# X-ray Emission





#### Radio & X-ray Emission (Gaensler, Staveley-Smith, Aschenbach, Park)



- X-rays and radio turned on at 1200 d
  ratio [hard (> 3keV) X/Radio] remains nearly constant
- Soft (~0.5 2 keV) X-rays increased rapidly after hotspots appeared)
- Soft X-ray image resembles optical image

9 Radio and hard X-rays come from relatively low density gas between blast wave and reverse shock

Optical and soft X-rays come from blast waves overtaking hotspots

#### Chandra LETG Spectra Sept. 2004 (Zhekov et al)



#### Chandra HETG Spectrum April 2007 (Dewey et al.)



## Global fits of NIE models to Chandra grating spectra



- Bimodal temperature distribution: ~ 2 keV and 0.5 keV
- Hard component cooled, intermediate temperatures filled in

#### **Shock Kinematics from X-ray Line Profiles**



## Radial expansion velocities inferred from X-ray emission line profiles



## Puzzle #1

•Radial expansion velocity of ring inferred from line profiles (Doppler shifts) ~ 300 km s-1.

•Radial expansion velocity inferred from proper motion of image ~ 1400 km s-1.

But ring is tilted at ~45°. If X-ray source is the same in both cases, transverse velocity should be the same as Doppler velocity. Discrepancy = factor ~ 4.6!

???

## Solution to Puzzle #1



•When we look at line profiles, we are measuring actual fluid motion

•When we look at transverse expansion, we are measuring barycenter of X-ray emission, caused by overtaking blast wave.

## Puzzle #2

•Velocity of shocked gas inferred from X-ray line profiles (Doppler shifts) ~ 300 km s<sup>-1</sup>.

•Temperature of X-ray emitting gas inferred from line ratios  $\sim 0.5 - 2 \text{ keV}$ 

But, shock jump conditions  $kT = 3/16 \text{ mV}_{\text{S}}^2$ , and postshock gas should be moving with velocity  $V_R = \frac{3}{4} V_S$ . Taking  $V_R \sim 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , jump conditions imply kT = 0.14keV. Discrepancy = factor ~ 3.5 - 14.

The shocked X-ray emitting gas is not moving fast enough to account for its temperature.

#### ???

### Solution to Puzzle #2

Blast wave strikes high density ring, a **reflected shock** goes backwards, slowing the X-ray emitting gas to the velocity of the transmitted shock. The twice-shocked gas has density ~2.5 times greater than the gas behind the blast wave, and temperature ~2.4 times greater. It dominates the X-ray emissivity.

## What we don't know

- What accounts for the morphology of the circumstellar rings? Merged binary?
- What accounts for the protrusions on the ring?
- What accounts for the EW asymmetry?
   Asymmetric explosion?
- Where is the compact object?

## The Future

- 5 year forecast: X-rays, infrared, optical, UV will brighten by another factor ~ 10
- 10 year forecast: Illumination by X-rays and EUV from inner ring will cause exterior matter to glow in narrow emission lines
- ALMA will give us a spectacular (~10 mas) view of the non-thermal radio source.
- 25 year forecast: will see newly synthesized material cross reverse shock
- Long range forecast: Will remain bright for decades - centuries



### Thanks to:

Kevin Heng Svet Zhekov Nathan Smith Dave Burrows Sangwook Park

Bob Kirshner and SINS team Dick Manchester Bryan Gaensler Kazik Borkowski John Blondin

... and many others

# **Reverse Shock**



#### Line emission and impact ionization at reverse shock surface





 $\Delta \lambda$ 





#### Charge Transfer at Reverse Shock Surface



#### Lα Resonance Scattering





#### Magellan/LDSS H $\alpha$ Observation

(Nathan Smith)



• Does not have HST spatial resolution, but can still monitor the time evolution of  $H\alpha$  from the ground.

#### **Bleaching of Reverse Shock Emission by Preionization**

