

# The orbits of galaxies in clusters over the last 8 Gyr

Andrea Biviano  
INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste



# Outline of this talk:

## 1) Motivation:

why studying the orbits of galaxies in clusters?

## 2) Methods:

how to determine these orbits?

## 3) Results:

what do we know about them?

## 4) Interpretation:

what do they tell us about the evolution of clusters and cluster galaxies

## 5) Prospects:

what will we do next

# Motivation:

why studying the orbits of galaxies in clusters?

# Why studying the orbits of galaxies in clusters?

1. Understanding the evolution of galaxy clusters
2. Understanding the evolution of galaxies
3. Estimating the mass of clusters of galaxies

# Why studying the orbits of galaxies in clusters?

## 1. Understanding the evolution of galaxy clusters

Theory predicts two evolutionary phases:

1. early, fast collapse
2. late, slow accretion

the orbits of galaxies inside the cluster are shaped by the way the cluster achieves its dynamical equilibrium, i.e. via collective collisions (“violent relaxation”) and/or slow inside-out growth (mass accretion from the surrounding field)

the shape of the orbits as a function of distance from the cluster center measures the clumpiness of the collisions by which the cluster grows its mass with time

(Lapi & Cavaliere 2011)

# Why studying the orbits of galaxies in clusters?

## 2. Understanding the evolution of galaxies

The population of cluster galaxies  $\neq$   
the population of galaxies in the general field,  
being mostly red, E/S0, low-star formation, low-gas content

What causes this difference?

Maybe some physical processes related to the high density of dark and baryonic (galaxies + gas) matter inside clusters

The density of dark and baryonic matter inside clusters decreases with distance from the cluster centers



galaxies on different orbits pass different amount of times in regions of different densities, hence they are more or less affected by density-related evolutionary processes

# Why studying the orbits of galaxies in clusters?

## 3. Estimating the mass of clusters of galaxies

The mass of a cluster,  $M$ , is related to its velocity dispersion,  $\sigma_v$ , measured from the motions of its galaxies (e.g. the Dark Matter discovery by Zwicky 1933): a larger  $M$  is needed to keep the galaxies bound to the system if their velocities are higher

We only observe the line-of-sight component of  $\sigma_v$ , i.e.  $\sigma_p$ , from the galaxies spectral redshifts,



the scaling relation  $M$  vs.  $\sigma_p$  depends on the orbital distribution of galaxies inside the cluster

# Methods:


how to determine the orbits of galaxies in clusters?




# How to determine the orbits of cluster galaxies?

Ideally one would use the positions of galaxies in the cluster at different times

e.g. Johannes Kepler and the determination of the orbit of planet Mars, 1609




e.g. Reinhardt Genzel and the motion of a star around the central black hole of our Milky Way, 2008




# How to determine the orbits of cluster galaxies?

Unfortunately cluster galaxies are too far away to detect a change in their positions within their cluster

This is possible for galaxies in our Local Group  
(Sohn+12; van der Marel+12)



proper motion of M31 measured using HST observations of thousands of M31 stars and hundreds of compact background galaxies




predicted orbits (10 Gyr) for the 3 main galaxies of our Local Group, based on semi-analytic integration

# How to determine the orbits of cluster galaxies?

For some cluster galaxies we can infer their orbits by their gas trails (Boselli+16; but these detections are rare)

Ionized gas  $H\alpha+[NII]$  trailing from the galaxy NGC4569 in the nearby Virgo cluster, centered around M87.

The size of NGC4569 is exaggerated by a factor 6 to illustrate the trailing direction



# How to determine the orbits of cluster galaxies?

## BULLETIN OF THE ASTRONOMICAL INSTITUTES OF THE NETHERLANDS.

1927 April 14


Volume III.

No. 120.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE OBSERVATORY AT LEIDEN.

Observational evidence confirming Lindblad's hypothesis of a rotation of the galactic system, by *J. H. Oort*.

Learn from Oort (1927): the non-uniform rotation of the Milky Way is inferred from the **projected positions and radial velocities** of its stars




# How to determine the orbits of cluster galaxies?

They are too distant: we cannot measure their proper motions within the cluster. But we do measure their projected positions and line-of-sight velocities (from the spectroscopic redshifts)


Observe  
the projected  
positions  
of the  
cluster  
galaxies



Define a cluster center and the projected galaxy distances, 'R', from this center




Observe  
the galaxies  
line-of-sight  
velocities  
(redshifts),  
and define  
the average  
cluster  
velocity,  
 $\langle V \rangle$







Subtract  $\langle V \rangle$  from observed l.o.s. velocities and define the cluster galaxies velocities relative to the mean, 'v'




Using  
2d+1d  
spatial  
and  
velocity  
information,  
distinguish  
between  
real cluster  
members  
and galaxies  
in the  
foreground  
or background  
of the cluster




Using  $2d+1d$  spatial and velocity information, determine the average shape of the orbits of cluster members in the cluster (by the equations of dynamical equilibrium)




Distinguish  
mostly  
RADIAL  
orbits...



...from  
mostly  
**TANGENTIAL**  
orbits...



...i.e. define  
to what degree  
are the orbits  
ISOTROPIC



# The equation of dynamical equilibrium

James  
Jeans



$$M(< r) = -\frac{r\sigma_r^2}{G} \left( \frac{d \ln \nu}{d \ln r} + \frac{d \ln \sigma_r^2}{d \ln r} + 2\beta \right)$$

$M$  = total mass profile

$\sigma_r$  = velocity dispersion

profile along the radial  
direction

$\sigma_t$  = velocity disp. profile along the tangential direction

$\nu$  = number density profile of the tracer (galaxies)

$\beta$  = velocity anisotropy profile of the tracer

$$\beta = 1 - (\sigma_t / \sigma_r)^2$$

$\beta(r)$  is related to the orbital distribution of galaxies:

<0: orbits are more tangential

>0: orbits are more radial

=0: orbits are isotropic (no preference for radial vs. tangential)

# The equation of dynamical equilibrium

James  
Jeans



$$M(< r) = -\frac{r\sigma_r^2}{G} \left( \frac{d \ln \nu}{d \ln r} + \frac{d \ln \sigma_r^2}{d \ln r} + 2\beta \right)$$

$M$  = total mass profile

$\sigma_r$  = velocity dispersion

profile along the radial  
direction

$\sigma_t$  = velocity disp. profile along the tangential direction

$\nu$  = number density profile of the tracer (galaxies)



$\beta$  = velocity anisotropy profile of the tracer

$$\beta = 1 - (\sigma_t / \sigma_r)^2$$



But how do we get  $\nu(r)$ ,  $\sigma_r(r)$ ,  $\beta(r)$   
from the observables, the projected spatial  
and line-of-sight velocity distributions?



projected number density profile  $N(R)$




line-of-sight velocity dispersion profile  $\sigma_{\text{los}}(R)$



$$M(< r) = -\frac{r\sigma_r^2}{G} \left( \frac{d \ln \nu}{d \ln r} + \frac{d \ln \sigma_r^2}{d \ln r} + 2\beta \right)$$

$$\beta = 1 - (\sigma_t / \sigma_r)^2$$

The 3-d number density profile,  $\nu(r)$ , can be recovered with no degeneracy from the 2-d projected profile,  $N(R)$ , assuming spherical symmetry and using the Abel inversion equation:




$$N(R) = 2 \int_R^{\infty} \frac{\nu r dr}{\sqrt{r^2 - R^2}}$$



$$\nu(r) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_r^{\infty} \frac{dN}{dR} \frac{dR}{\sqrt{R^2 - r^2}}$$

Knowledge of  $\beta(r)$  is needed to determine all the components of the 3-d velocity dispersion profile,  $\sigma_r(r)$  and  $\sigma_t(r)$ , from the observed vel. disp. along the line-of-sight,  $\sigma_p(R)$ ; assuming spherical symmetry is not sufficient.




$$\beta = 1 - (\sigma_t / \sigma_r)^2$$

$$N(R)\sigma_p^2(R) = 2 \int_R^\infty \left(1 - \beta \frac{R^2}{r^2}\right) \frac{\nu \sigma_r^2(r) r dr}{\sqrt{r^2 - R^2}}$$




**Only if  $\beta=0$**

$$\sigma_r^2 = -\frac{1}{\pi\nu(r)} \int_r^\infty \frac{d[N \times \sigma_p^2]}{dR} \frac{dR}{\sqrt{R^2 - r^2}}$$



$\nu$   
 $N(R)$





## DEGENERACY :

$N(R)$ ,  $\sigma_p(R)$  observed

$\nu(r)$ ,  $M(r)$ ,  $\beta(r)$  required

line-of-sight velocity dispersion




$$M(< r) = -\frac{r\sigma_r^2}{G} \left( \frac{d \ln \nu}{d \ln r} + \frac{d \ln \sigma_r^2}{d \ln r} + 2\beta \right)$$

$$\beta = 1 - (\sigma_t / \sigma_r)^2$$

# How to solve the Jeans equation:

Numerical simulations indicate the existence of a linear relation between  $\beta(r)$  and  $\gamma(r)$ , the slope of the mass density profile  $\gamma(r) = d \log \rho / d \log r$ , with  $M(r) = 4\pi \int x^2 \rho(x) dx$  (Hansen+Moore 03)

Assume the  $\beta$ - $\gamma$  relation is valid in real clusters:



Observables  
 $N(R)$ ,  $\sigma_p(R)$

**Abel+Jeans**

(Binney+Mamon 82;  
Mamon+Boué 09)

$M(r)$  &  $\beta(r)$



# How to solve the Jeans equation:

Assume “mass follows light”:  $M(r) = 4\pi \int x^2 \rho(x) dx$ ,  
mass density profile:  $\rho(r) \propto v(r)$  and  $v(r)$  is obtained from  $N(R)$   
(Mahdavi+Geller 99)

Observables  
 $N(R)$ ,  $\sigma_p(R)$


+ $M(r)$

**Abel+Jeans**

(Binney+Mamon 82;  
Solanes+Salvador-Solé 90)

$\beta(r)$

Result for a stack of 20  
nearby galaxy groups



# How to solve the Jeans equation:

Estimate  $M(r)$  not from the cluster kinematics but using other probes, e.g. gravitational lensing (Natarajan+Kneib 96)



Observables  
 $N(R)$ ,  $\sigma_p(R)$

+ $M(r)$

**Abel+Jeans**

(Binney+Mamon 82;  
Solanes+Salvador-Solé 90)

$\beta(r)$



Result for  
cluster  
A2218  
at  $z=0.175$

# How to solve the Jeans equation:

Use two populations of tracers of the same gravitational potential:  $M(r)$  is unique,  $\beta(r)$  does not need to be identical for the two populations (AB+Poggianti 09)

Observables1  
 $N(R), \sigma_p(R)$

$\neq$

Observables2  
 $N(R), \sigma_p(R)$

tracer 1


**snaeJ+lebA**

(Bacon 83; van der Marel 94)

tracer 2

$M(r) + \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta_1(r) \\ \beta_2(r) \end{array} \right.$

Result for a stack of nearby clusters (from ENACS): showing  $\sigma_r/\sigma_t$  rather than  $\beta$  for two populations of tracers: early-type and late-type galaxies






# How to solve the Jeans equation:

There is more information in the projected spatial distribution than just the number density profile  $N(R)$  and in the line-of-sight velocity distribution than just the velocity dispersion profile  $\sigma_p(R)$ .

The shape of the velocity distribution depends on the orbital distribution  $\beta(r)$  (Merritt 87)

Parametrize the velocity distribution by its 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> moments (the “Dispersion+Kurtosis” technique, Łokas+Mamon 03) or by the Gauss-Hermite moments (van der Marel + 00)



Observed distribution of velocities for Coma cluster galaxies and predicted distributions for  $\beta=1,0,-\infty$


Observables:  
 $N(R)$ ,  $\sigma_p(R)$ , and  
higher moments  
of the velocity  
distribution

**snaeJ+lebA**

$M(r)+\beta(r)$


(Bacon 83; van der Marel 94)

Phase-space distribution of cluster member galaxies in projection (rest-frame velocities vs. distances from the cluster center)




CLASH-VLT  
cluster  
MACS1206

projected number density profile  $N(R)$




l.o.s. velocity dispersion profile  $\sigma_p(R)$



# MAMPOSSt


(Mamon, AB, Boué 13)

direct maximum likelihood fit to the phase-space distribution of cluster galaxies in projection




Modelling Anisotropy and Mass Profiles of Observed Spherical Systems

projected number density profile  $N(R)$



l.o.s. velocity dispersion profile  $\sigma_p(R)$



The surface density of observed objects in projected phase space is:

## MAMPOSSt:

(Mamon, AB, Boué 13)

direct  
maximum  
likelihood  
fit to the  
phase-space  
distribution  
of cluster  
galaxies  
in projection

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(R, v_z) &= \Sigma(R) \langle h(v_z | R, r) \rangle_{\text{LOS}} \\
 &= 2 \int_R^\infty \frac{r v(r)}{\sqrt{r^2 - R^2}} h(v_z | R, r) dr, \quad (4) \\
 &= 2 \int_R^\infty \frac{r dr}{\sqrt{r^2 - R^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dv_\perp \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(r, v_z, v_\perp, v_\phi) dv_\phi, \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the probability density of observing an object at position  $(R, v_z)$  is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(R, v_z) &= \frac{2\pi R g(R, v_z)}{\Delta N_p} \\
 &= \frac{4\pi R}{\Delta N_p} \int_R^\infty \frac{r v(r)}{\sqrt{r^2 - R^2}} h(v_z | R, r) dr
 \end{aligned}$$

Can be solved by assuming a distribution for 3D galaxy velocities (e.g. Gaussian):

$$h(v_z | R, r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_z^2(R, r)}} \exp\left[-\frac{v_z^2}{2\sigma_z^2(R, r)}\right] \quad \sigma_z^2(R, r) = \left[1 - \beta(r) \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^2\right] \sigma_r^2(r)$$

where  $\sigma_r^2(r)$  is obtained from the Jeans equation, given  $M(r)$  and  $\beta(r)$

$$\sigma_r^2(r) = \frac{1}{v(r)} \int_r^\infty \exp\left[2 \int_r^s \beta(t) \frac{dt}{t}\right] v(s) \frac{GM(s)}{s^2} ds$$

# How to solve the Jeans equation:


**MAMPOSSt**  
(Mamon, AB, Boué 13)

Observables:  
projected  
phase-space  
distribution of  
cluster galaxies,  
 $R$ ,  $V_{\text{rest-frame}}$

← **snaeJ+lebA**  
(Bacon 83; van der Marel 94)

$M(r) + \beta(r)$

$\beta(r)$  of galaxies in the  $z=0.44$  cluster MACS1206:  
white line and grey area: taking  $M(r)$  from lensing;  
black dash-dotted line: MAMPOSSt solution



# How to solve the Jeans equation:

**Distribution Function** methods  
 (modeling the binding energy  $E$   
 and angular momentum  $L$  of the  
 system; Wojtak+09)

$$f_L(L) = \left(1 + \frac{L^2}{2L_0^2}\right)^{-\beta_\infty + \beta_0} L^{-2\beta_0}$$


$$\rho(r) = \iiint f_E(E) \left(1 + \frac{L^2}{2L_0^2}\right)^{-\beta_\infty + \beta_0} L^{-2\beta_0} d^3v$$

Observables:  
 projected  
 phase-space  
 distribution of  
 cluster galaxies,  
 $R, V_{\text{rest-frame}}$

← **snaeJ+lebA**  
 (Bacon 83; van der Marel 94)

$f_E + f_L$

$\beta$ (virial radius) vs.  $\beta(0)$   
 of galaxies in 41 nearby clusters



# How to solve the Jeans equation:

Observables  $+\beta(r)$


**Abel+Jeans**

(Mamon + Boué 09)


$M(r)$

Constructing a constrained model of  $\beta(r)$  for cluster galaxies as a function of redshift, it will reduce the systematics in the determination of cluster masses from kinematics

'Circular velocity'  $V_c \equiv (GM/r)^{1/2}$  for a stack of  $\sim 2900$  galaxies in 59 clusters (from ENACS). Different lines correspond to different values of  $\beta$  (AB+Salucci 06)



# How to solve the Jeans equation: summary of the methods





# Results:

what do we know about  
the orbits of galaxies in clusters?

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r) \approx 0$  near the center (isotropic orbits)

$\beta(r)$  increases with distance from the center (radial orbits)

$\beta(r)$  varies from cluster to cluster

$\beta(r) \approx 0$  at all radii for early-type/passive/red galaxies

$\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} < \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$


$\beta(r)_{\text{early-type dwarfs}} > 0$  near the center (radial orbits)

$\beta(r) < 0$  (tangential orbits) for groups inside clusters (subclusters)

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r) \approx 0$  near the center (isotropic orbits)

$\beta(r)$  increases with distance from the center (radial orbits)




Natarajan+Kneib 96:  
method: ext-M(r) from lensing  
56 galaxies in one cluster (A2218)

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r) \approx 0$  near the center (isotropic orbits)

$\beta(r)$  increases with distance from the center (radial orbits)




Wojtak+Łokas 10:  
method: E+L

from 66 to 365  
members in each  
of 41 clusters:

$\beta(\text{virial radius})$  vs.  $\beta(0)$

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r)$  increases with distance from the center (radial orbits)




Lemze+ 09:

method: ext-M(r)  
from X-ray & lensing;

~500 galaxies  
in the cluster A1689

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r) \approx 0$  at all radii for early-type/passive/red galaxies




Łokas+Mamon 03:

method: v-moments

350 E,S0 in one cluster (Coma)

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$$\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} < \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$$



Mahdavi+Geller 04:


method: mass~light; 600 galaxies in 20 groups

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r)$  increases with distance from the center (radial orbits)

$\beta(r) \approx 0$  at all radii for early-type/passive/red galaxies

$\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} < \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$



AB + Poggianti 09:

method: 2 tracers


~2600 galaxies in 59 clusters:  
2200 passive, 400 star-forming  
(ENACS)

$$\beta = 1 - (\sigma_t / \sigma_r)^2$$



# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r) \approx 0$  at all radii for early-type/passive/red galaxies



Katgert, AB, Mazure 04:  
method: v-moments


~2200 early-type galaxies  
in 59 clusters (ENACS)

$-0.6 \leq \beta \leq 0.1$

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} < \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$

$\beta(r)$  increases with distance from the center (radial orbits)



AB + Katgert 04:


method: ext-M(r) from kinematics

~300 Sc, Sd, Irr galaxies  
in 59 clusters (ENACS)

$$\beta = 1 - (\sigma_t / \sigma_r)^2$$

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r) < 0$  (tangential orbits) for groups inside clusters (subclusters)



AB + Katgert 04:

method: ext-M(r) from kinematics


~690 galaxies in subclusters of  
59 clusters (ENACS)

$$\beta = 1 - (\sigma_t/\sigma_r)^2$$

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r) \approx 0$  at all radii for early-type/passive/red galaxies

$\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} < \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$



Munari, AB, Mamon 14:

methods:

- 1) ext-M(r) from X-ray & lensing;
- 2) MAMPOSSt

~1000 galaxies in the cluster A2142 (~600 red, ~300 blue)




$$\beta = 1 - (\sigma_t / \sigma_r)^2$$

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r)$  increases with distance from the center (radial orbits)

$$\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} < \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$$

*Not in this cluster!*




Hwang + Lee 08:

method: ext-M(r) from X-ray

~750 galaxies in the cluster A2199

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r)$  varies from cluster to cluster



Benatov + 06:

method: ext-M(r) from X-ray


~200 galaxies

in each of 3 clusters

(solid, dashed, dotted curves)

# $\beta(r)$ for low-redshift clusters ( $z < 0.2$ )

$\beta(r)_{\text{early-type dwarfs}} > 0$  near the center (radial orbits)



Adami+ 09:

method: ext-M(r) from kinematics  
64  $21 < m_R < 23$  early-type dwarfs  
in the Coma cluster central region

# $\beta(r)$ for medium-redshift clusters ( $0.2 < z < 0.8$ )

$\beta(r) > 0$  (radial orbits), not always  $\approx 0$  at  $r \rightarrow 0$   *$\neq$  from low-z!*

$\beta(r)$  varies from cluster to cluster *also at low-z*

$\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} \approx \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$   *$\neq$  from low-z!*


$\beta(r \rightarrow 0)_{\text{low-mass passive}} < 0 < \beta(r \rightarrow 0)_{\text{high-mass passive}}$   *$\neq$  from low-z!*



# $\beta(r)$ for medium-redshift clusters ( $0.2 < z < 0.8$ )

$\beta(r) > 0$  (radial orbits), not always  $\approx 0$  at  $r \rightarrow 0$

$\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} \approx \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$



AB + Poggianti 09:


method: 2 tracers

$\sim 600$  galaxies in 19 clusters  
at  $0.39 < z < 0.79$  (EDisCS):

$\sim 350$  passive,  $\sim 250$  star-forming

$$\beta = 1 - (\sigma_t/\sigma_r)^2$$

# $\beta(r)$ for medium-redshift clusters ( $0.2 < z < 0.8$ )



$\beta(r) > 0$  (radial orbits)

$\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} \approx$

$\beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$

AB+14:


methods:

- 1) MAMPOSSt
- 2) ext-M(r) from lensing

~600 galaxies in the cluster  
 MACS1206 at  $z=0.44$   
 (CLASH-VLT):  
 ~400 passive,  
 ~200 star-forming

# $\beta(r)$ for medium-redshift clusters ( $0.2 < z < 0.8$ )

$\beta(r) > 0$  (radial orbits)




Balestra+16:

method: MAMPOSSt

~800 galaxies in the cluster  
MACS0416 at  $z=0.40$   
(CLASH-VLT):

# $\beta(r)$ for medium-redshift clusters ( $0.2 < z < 0.8$ )

$\beta(r)$  varies from cluster to cluster



Benatov + 06:

method: ext-M(r) from lensing


~170 galaxies in each

of 2 clusters ( $z=0.23, 0.33$ )

(solid, dashed, dotted curves)

# $\beta(r)$ for medium-redshift clusters ( $0.2 < z < 0.8$ )

$\beta(r)$  varies from cluster to cluster



Benatov + 06:

method: ext-M(r) from lensing


~170 galaxies in each

of 2 clusters ( $z=0.23, 0.33$ )

(solid, dashed, dotted curves)

# $\beta(r)$ for medium-redshift clusters ( $0.2 < z < 0.8$ )

$$\beta(r \rightarrow 0)_{\text{low-mass passive}} < 0 < \beta(r \rightarrow 0)_{\text{high-mass passive}}$$



Annunziatella+15:

method: ext-M(r) from Lensing

~1000 galaxies in the cluster

A209 at  $z=0.21$  (CLASH-VLT):

~500 with stellar mass  $< 10^{10} M_{\odot}$

~500 with stellar mass  $> 10^{10} M_{\odot}$


# $\beta(r)$ for high-redshift clusters ( $0.8 < z < 1.2$ )

$\beta(r) \approx 0$  near the center (isotropic orbits) = *low-z*

$\beta(r)$  increases with distance from the center (radial orbits) = *low-z*

$\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} \approx \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$   $\neq$  *from low-z!*  
= *medium-z*

# $\beta(r)$ for high-redshift clusters ( $0.8 < z < 1.2$ )



AB+ 16 (to be submitted):

methods:

- 1) MAMPOSSt
- 2) ext-M( $r$ ) from kinematics

~400 galaxies in  
10 clusters at  $0.8 < z < 1.2$   
(GCLASS):  
~270 passive  
~120 star-forming




# Interpretation:

what do the orbits of galaxies in clusters tell us about the evolution of clusters and clusters of galaxies?

# Theoretical interpretation

The average shape of clusters  $\beta(r)$   
*seen at all z*

On the average  $\beta(r \rightarrow 0) \approx 0$  and increasing outwards (radial orbits):



Same shape for DM particles:  
its origin must be in collisionless  
dynamics.


Not seen for the  
only stack done so far at  
medium-z: maybe  
du to the variance in  $\beta(r)$ ?

Average  $\beta(r)$   
for 93 simulated halos at low-z  
(Mamon, AB, Murante 2010)

# Theoretical interpretation

The variance in clusters  $\beta(r)$   
*seen at low-z and medium-z (not enough data at high-z)*

Also seen in cluster-sized halos from cosmological simulations:



$\beta(r)$  for 11 simulated halos at low-z  
(Mamon, AB, Boué 2013)

# Theoretical interpretation

The variance in clusters  $\beta(r)$

Possibly related to the variance in the composition of the samples of galaxies used as tracers:

- different fractions of passive/star-forming,
- different fractions of giants/dwarfs;

Low-z:  $\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} < \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$

Low-z:  $\beta(r)_{\text{early-type dwarfs}} > 0$  near the center


$z=0.21$ :  $\beta(r \rightarrow 0)_{\text{low-mass passive}} < 0 < \beta(r \rightarrow 0)_{\text{high-mass passive}}$

Can be due to observational selection  
or to intrinsic properties of the galaxies in the clusters  
(since we also see it in simulated halos)


# Theoretical interpretation

The variance in clusters  $\beta(r)$

Cosmological simulations: low-mass clusters have more isotropic orbits (flatter  $\beta(r)$ ) than high-mass clusters



(Lemze+12)




(Munari+13)

$$\beta = 1 - (\sigma_t/\sigma_r)^2$$

# Theoretical interpretation

## The variance in clusters $\beta(r)$

Cosmological simulations: dynamically unrelaxed clusters have more isotropic orbits (flatter  $\beta(r)$ ) than relaxed ones



(Lemze+12)

This may be related to the orbital evolution of cluster galaxies: unrelaxed clusters are in the phase of violent relaxation, and this is predicted to lead to isotropic orbits.

The following phase of slow accretion leads to radial orbits around the virial radius (galaxies are infalling along mostly radial orbits)

(Lapi+Cavaliere 11)

# Theoretical interpretation

## The origin of the shape of $\beta(r)$

$\beta(r) \approx 0$  near the center (isotropic orbits)

$\beta(r)$  increases with distance from the center (radial orbits)

Galaxies near the cluster center enter the cluster before the last epoch of violent relaxation, so their orbits have become isotropic due to collective collisions (Lapi+Cavaliere 11)

In the following phase of slow-accretion, clusters grow inside-out (van der Burg+15) and the external galaxies retain memory of their infalling, mostly radial, orbits (Lapi+Cavaliere 11)



# Theoretical interpretation

## The evolution of $\beta(r)$ for early-type galaxies

$z < 0.2$ :  $\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} < \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$

$0.2 < z < 1.2$ :  $\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} \approx \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$

Galaxies become passive before their orbits in the external regions become isotropic. Dynamical friction might explain the long timescale



Cosmological simulations do predict decreasing  $\langle \beta \rangle$  with time but also  $\beta_{\text{red}} > \beta_{\text{blue}}$  at any  $z$ ! (Iannuzzi+Dolag 12)





# Theoretical interpretation

The global evolution of  $\beta(r)$  with  $z$

$z < 0.2$ :  $\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} < \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$

$0.2 < z < 1.2$ :  $\beta(r)_{\text{early-type/passive/red}} \approx \beta(r)_{\text{late-type/star-forming/blue}}$


This implies an overall  $\beta$  decrease with time for the full population of cluster galaxies (early+late, red+blue, passive+star-forming) qualitatively consistent with cosmological simulation results




# Theoretical interpretation

Subclusters have tangential orbits

Low- $z$ :  $\beta(r) < 0$  (tangential orbits) for groups inside clusters (subclusters)



Groups on radial orbits do not survive tidal disruption by the cluster gravitational field



# Theoretical interpretation

The different  $\beta(r)$  for low-mass and dwarf early-types

$z=0.21$ :  $\beta(r \rightarrow 0)_{\text{low-mass passive}} < 0 < \beta(r \rightarrow 0)_{\text{high-mass passive}}$   
Low- $z$ :  $\beta(r)_{\text{early-type dwarfs}} > 0$  near the center


**Not in contradiction!**

$z=0.21$  low-mass early-type galaxies have  $\log M_*/M_\odot \gtrsim 9.0$   
low- $z$  dwarf early-type galaxies have  $\log M_*/M_\odot \lesssim 9.0$


# Theoretical interpretation

The different  $\beta(r)$  for low-mass and dwarf early-types

$z=0.21$ :  $\beta(r \rightarrow 0)_{\text{low-mass passive}} < 0 < \beta(r \rightarrow 0)_{\text{high-mass passive}}$   
Low- $z$ :  $\beta(r)_{\text{early-type dwarfs}} > 0$  near the center



Low-mass galaxy on radial orbit suffers tidal stripping by the cluster gravitational field. Part of its mass is lost to the Intra-Cluster Light. It emerges as a dwarf galaxy still on radial orbit.



Low-mass galaxies on tangential orbits do not lose mass and pass the mass-selection

A dark, atmospheric landscape with a lake and mountains under a cloudy sky. The scene is dimly lit, with the sky showing some light clouds. The text is overlaid in a bright yellow color.

# Prospects:

what will we do next?



# Ω WINGS


Wide-field Nearby Galaxy cluster Survey

PIs: G. Fasano  
B. Poggianti  
(INAF-Oss. Astr.  
Padova)

- Home Page
- Cluster Sample
- Optical WINGS
- Near Infrared
- U-band
- Spectroscopy
- Ω WINGS +
- Description
- Observations
- Reduction
- Data Quality
- Catalogs
- Surface Photometry
- Spectroscopy
- Publications
- People

## Spectroscopy

Observations approved by the Australian Time Allocation Committee are allowing us to get AAOMEGA/AAT spectroscopy over the 1 deg<sup>2</sup> OmegaCAM field for ~30000 galaxies. The whole campaign consists of 26 AAT nights, of which 12 have already been carried out (August 2013, December 2013, July 2014), 5 are scheduled for January 2015 and the remaining 9 await approval for the following two semesters (15A and 15B). Spectra are taken over a 6mag range in galaxy luminosity down to V=20, translating into a stellar mass completeness limit  $M_{\text{star}} = 6 \times 10^9 M_{\text{sun}}$ , covering 2.5dex in galaxy mass. We are getting typically between 150 and 450 spectroscopic members for each cluster, with a spectroscopic completeness > 90%. The figure below shows the redshift histograms of 20 Omega WINGS clusters (in red, cluster members).



Will allow determination of  $\beta(r)$  for ~20 low-z clusters with >250 members each



Will allow determination of  $\beta(r)$  for  
 ~12 medium-z clusters with  
 ~500 members each

Astronomical Science

## CLASH-VLT: A VIMOS Large Programme to Map the Dark Matter Mass Distribution in Galaxy Clusters and Probe Distant Lensed Galaxies

Piero Rosati<sup>1</sup> → P.I.

Italo Balestra<sup>2</sup>  
 Claudio Grillo<sup>3</sup>  
 Amata Mercurio<sup>4</sup>  
 Mario Nonino<sup>2</sup>  
 Andrea Biviano<sup>2</sup>  
 Marisa Girardi<sup>5</sup>  
 Eros Vanzella<sup>6</sup>  
 and the CLASH-VLT Team\*

- <sup>1</sup> Università degli Studi di Ferrara, Italy  
<sup>2</sup> INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, Italy  
<sup>3</sup> Dark Cosmology Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark  
<sup>4</sup> INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, Napoli, Italy  
<sup>5</sup> Università degli Studi di Trieste, Italy  
<sup>6</sup> INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, Italy

### CLASH-VLT data reduction summary



Rosati et al. 2014, The Messenger 158, 48

# GOGREEN

## Gemini Observations of Galaxies in Rich Early Environments

### Project Description

GOGREEN will use the upgraded GMOS detectors on Gemini North and South to obtain multiobject spectroscopy of galaxies in 21 clusters and groups in the redshift range  $1 < z < 1.5$ . Targets are selected primarily from deep imaging at 3.6 micron from IRAC (existing) and in z-band, either from existing data or obtained as part of GOGREEN itself.




Each cluster will be observed either with 3 masks of 5 hours each, or 5 masks of 3 hours each. The faintest objects may be assigned to all masks, ensuring a maximum of 15h exposure. The masks for a given cluster will generally be spread over several semesters, so that slits can be reassigned after some set of criteria (TBD) have been achieved. The choice of 3x5 versus 5x3 is determined by the expected number of new members. Poor groups, and clusters with existing spectroscopy, will generally only need 3 masks. More information on the Survey strategy is available [here](#).

Spectroscopy is planned for the R150 grating and a red blocking filter, allowing up to two tiers per mask. Imaging will generally be done in queue mode, while spectroscopy will be done as much as possible in Priority Visitor mode. Spectroscopy on the North will have to wait for the detector upgrade. In the meantime, deep imaging will be obtained from the South where possible, with perhaps shorter exposures (for good mask design) obtained from the North once the detectors are in place.

P.I.: M. Balogh  
Univ. Waterloo  
Canada

Will allow  
determination of  
 $\beta(r)$  for stack of  
~500 galaxies  
in ~21  
clusters/groups  
at  $1 < z < 1.5$





# Kiitos!

