**Maurilio Pannella – [mpannella@units.it](mailto:mpannella@units.it) - May 2021**

# **A radio astronomy primer**

- What is radio astronomy
- Historical background
	- Physical processes

• Radio astronomy and galaxy evolution • The present and future of radio astronomy



- Collecting radio signals
- A brief introduction to interferometry

## **Agenda and Outline of this primer**



#### **galaxy spectral properties**

#### **star formation rates**

#### **gas content (molecular and atomic)**

**nuclear activity and its impact on galaxies** 

**The SED measures the galaxy flux (luminosity/cm<sup>2</sup> /s) in wavelength**  range d $\lambda$  (F<sub> $\lambda$ </sub> , units of erg/s/cm<sup>2</sup>/Å) or in frequency range dv (F<sub>v</sub>, units **of erg/s/cm<sup>2</sup> /Hz)**



**The SED measures the galaxy flux (luminosity/cm<sup>2</sup> /s) in wavelength**  range d $\lambda$  (F<sub> $\lambda$ </sub> , units of erg/s/cm<sup>2</sup>/Å) or in frequency range dv (F<sub>v</sub>, units **of erg/s/cm<sup>2</sup> /Hz)**







**Galaxy spectral energy distributions are the result of the combination of light emission and absorption from the (baryonic) galaxy consituents:** 

- **stars**
- **gas**
- **dust**





**The light of a galaxy is to first order "the sum of the light from all its stars" (see later) -> to build a galaxy SED start by summing up the spectra of all its stars:**

**how many stars ?** 

**what type of stars (which spectra) ?** 



© 2006 Brooks/Cole - Thomson





**Nebular emission from ionised (HII) regions of the ISM (continuum and emission lines). Associated with star-forming galaxies, because only massive O and early B stars emit UV photons that efficiently ionize HI gas to HII. Emission lines from heavier elements are also observed - depending on ionization level and metallicity of the gas.**



#### **Gas – line emission from the ionized ISM**

**Nebular emission from ionised (HII) regions of the ISM (continuum and emission lines). Associated with star-forming galaxies, because only massive O and early B stars emit UV photons that efficiently ionize HI gas to HII. Emission lines from heavier elements are also observed - depending on ionization level and metallicity of the gas.**



#### **Gas – line emission from the ionized ISM**

#### **Dust – the dark side of galaxies**

Cosmic dust is made of aggregates of sub-<sub>*u*</sub>m grains - silicates and carbonaceous grains (graphite, polycyclic **aromatic hydrocarbons). It may scatter starlight, absorb it, and re-radiate it a different wavelengths.** 



#### **Dust – the dark side of galaxies**

Cosmic dust is made of aggregates of sub-<sub>*u*</sub>m grains - silicates and carbonaceous grains (graphite, polycyclic **aromatic hydrocarbons). It may scatter starlight, absorb it, and re-radiate it a different wavelengths.** 



**diffuse interstellar medium (ISM)**

#### **Dust – the dark side of galaxies**



**diffuse interstellar medium (ISM)**

**The emerging starlight is affetcted by extinction (due to absorption and scattering in/out of the line of sight)** 

Cosmic dust is made of aggregates of sub-um grains - silicates and carbonaceous grains (graphite, polycyclic **aromatic hydrocarbons). It may scatter starlight, absorb it, and re-radiate it a different wavelengths.** 

**- to different extent depending on wavelength**





**the absorbed starlight heats the dust, which re-radiates this energy in the IR**

**emission in the mid-/far-IR range reflects the heating of dust in different ISM components from stars of all ages**





**da Cunha et al. 2008**









**da Cunha et al. 2008**



**da Cunha et al. 2008**

**more "quiescent" star-forming galaxy with colder IR emission**



**more "starburst" star-forming galaxy with hotter IR emission**



#### **Stars + Dust + Gas: toward a realistic galaxy modeling**



#### **Turning it the other way around: estimate galaxy properties from observed SEDs**



**Turning it the other way around: estimate galaxy properties from observed SEDs**

 **What do we have:**

- **spectra (or spectral features / indices)**
- **color (broad/medium/narrow-band SEDs)**



**Turning it the other way around: estimate galaxy properties from observed SEDs**

 **What do we have:**

- **spectra (or spectral features / indices)**
- **color (broad/medium/narrow-band SEDs)**

**What do we want:**

- **stellar mass**
- **stellar age / SFH / SFR**
- **metallicity (stellar / gas)**
- **dust mass / temperature**
- **AGN ?**
- **galaxy redshift**
- **…**

#### **Turning it the other way around: estimate galaxy properties from observed SEDs**

**Pacifici et al. 2015**

#### **Broad-band SED fitting for stellar population properties**



**standard modeling with parametric SFHs, single metallicity, no emission lines more realistic SFH, chemical evolution, dust attenuation modeling more realistic SFH, chemical evolution, dust attenuation modeling , plus emission lines**

**Turning it the other way around: estimate galaxy properties from observed SEDs**

**Broad-band SED fitting for stellar population properties**



wavelength $/\mu{\rm m}$ 

**Pacifici et al. 2015**

**standard modeling with parametric SFHs, single metallicity, no emission lines more realistic SFH, chemical evolution, dust attenuation modeling more realistic SFH, chemical evolution, dust attenuation modeling , plus emission lines**

**Turning it the other way around: estimate galaxy properties from observed SEDs**

**Broad-band SED fitting for stellar population properties ... but quite different retrived parameters**



- 
- 
- 

## **Galaxy evolution : hunting for star formation rates**



**A star formation tracer that, ideally, should be:**

- **unobscured**
- **independent of viewing angle**
- **not a function of environment**
- **time/redshift-invariant**



- **IR emission is affected by:**
	- **- IMF**
	- **- UV photon transport**
	- **- optical depth**
	- **- dust grain distribution/composition**
- **Radio emission is affected by:**
	- **- IMF**
	- **- acceleration Mechanisms**
	- **- primary/secondary e-**
	- **- magnetic fields**
	- **- transport (diffusion & confinement)**

**Radio & 60 micron luminosity functions of galaxies (Yun+ '01):**

**Common link to life cycle of massive stars:**

**Non-thermal radio (synchrotron) re-radiated UV light (IR thermal).**



**Radio & 60 micron luminosity functions of galaxies (Yun+ '01):**

**Common link to life cycle of massive stars:**

**Non-thermal radio (synchrotron) re-radiated UV light (IR thermal).**



$$
q_{IR} = \log \frac{L_{IR}/(3.75 \times 10^{12} \,\mathrm{W})}{L_{1.4}/\,\mathrm{W Hz}^{-1}}
$$

#### **The radio-FIR correlation**

 **Long story short …** 

- **the correlation holds up to high z**
- **stays (fairly) constant with redshift**







$$
SFR = \kappa_{UV} \cdot L_{UV} [measured] + \kappa_{IR} \cdot L_{IR}
$$
  
\n
$$
SFR = \kappa_{UV} \cdot L_{UV} [measured] + \kappa_{radio} \cdot L_{radio}
$$

What we usually have is:

 $S\,F\,R\!=\!\kappa_{\,UV}\!\cdot\!L_{UV}\! \big[\,me\,a\,s\,ur\,e\,d\big]\!\cdot\!10^{A_{UV}/2.5}$  from SED fitting!



 $\cdot L_{IR}$ 

What we hope to measure is (assuming that energy conservation holds):

## **Galaxy evolution** $log_{10}(\lambda L_{\lambda}/L_{\odot})$ What we are after:  $SFR = \kappa_{UV} \cdot L_{UV}$  [intrinsic]

### **UV IR Radio**

- 
- good resolution
- "easy" K-corr
- ground based
- wide FOV
- poor sensitivity •
- space based
- PAH/dust comp
- IR SED evolution
- small FOV
- poor sensitivity
- radio-IR correlation
- pre-selection



#### **PROS**

#### **CONS**

- easy to collect 20% accuracy ~x2 accuracy
- high resolution
- high sensitivity

- SFH dependent poor resolution
- $\sim$ x5 accuracy



- we need distance (redshift)
- we need a broad classification of galaxies (passive/star-forming)

#### **Radio data are an important ingredient of an holistic approach to study galaxy evolution**







#### **The UVJ classification of passive and star-forming galaxies**

## **Galaxy evolution : the star formation histories of galaxies**



- **– log M\* ~ log SFR** 
	- ■ **Inefficient and long lasting conversion of gas in stars (~ 1Gyr)**
	- ■ **0.3 dex scatter is incompatible with SFR/mass growth driven by stocastic events, e.g. mergers**
	- ■ **Outliers ("Starburst") are a minority (~2%) and almost irrelevant (~10%) in terms of SFRD and stellar mass growth budget at all z**

### **Galaxy evolution : the UV dust attenuation in galaxies**



- The correlation between M<sub>\*</sub> and A<sub>UV</sub> does not  **evolve much up to z~4**
- **The same amount of SFR is less attenuated at higher redshift**

## **Galaxy evolution : hidden star formation in galaxy clusters**

**The combination of high angular resolution and dust unbiased nature makes of the radio continuum data a unique tool to explore galaxy evolution in overdense environments and in particular at cosmic times when lots of activity (star-formation and nuclear activity) is expected!**



 **The strange case of JKCS041 a galaxy cluster at z = 1.81**





**Mechanical feedback by injection of kinetic energy that shock heats the ISM/IGM gas** 

**Needed by galaxy formation models to prevent gas cooling:**

 **— Stellar mass overgrowth — Massive star-forming galaxies** 

**Different models use different feedback implementations in order to reproduce observed galaxy properties**





**Mechanical feedback by injection of kinetic energy that shock heats the ISM/IGM gas** 

**Needed by galaxy formation models to prevent gas cooling:**

 **— Stellar mass overgrowth — Massive star-forming galaxies** 

**Different models use different feedback implementations in order to reproduce observed galaxy properties**



 $-0.0061$  $-0.0003$ 0.0026 0.0055  $-0.0090$  $-0.0032$ 

0.0113 0.0142 0.0171 0.0084 0.0200

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **Galaxy evolution: molecular gas, the fuel for star formation**

**CO emission is by far the brightest and most reliable tracer of molecular gas in galaxies:**

 $M_{\text{mol}} = \alpha_{\text{CO}} L_{\text{CO}}$ 

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_4.jpeg)

Composite of FUV (GALEX), mid-IR (SINGS/LVL), and Ha (SINGS/LVL)

![](_page_47_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### **Two mode of star formation in the Universe**

#### **If we define the gas depletion time scale as:**

**galaxies split in two diverse mode of converting gas into stars:**

 **— Normal star-forming (Main Sequence) galaxies**  have depletion times of ~ 1Gyr. Stars are formed in an **inefficient and quiescent mode over the whole galaxy.** 

**Extreme (Starbursts) galaxies have depletion times of ~ 100 Myr. Stars are formed very efficiently in compact regions where gas has been compressed by galaxy encounters or merger events.**

![](_page_48_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **Galaxy evolution: molecular gas, the fuel for star formation**

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

gas mass

$$
t_{\text{dep}} = M_{\text{gas}} / \text{SFR}
$$

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_3.jpeg)

**Different transitions are usually accessible at different redshifts. Different J-level transitions depend on both temperature and density of the gas. To put them together we need to know, or assume, a CO SLED (Spectral Line Energy Distribution). In order to break the degeneracy we have to use some prior information or independent tracers of Temperature/density.**

![](_page_50_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Galaxy evolution: molecular gas, the fuel for star formation**

#### CO transitions as function of redshift,  $f(T, \rho)$

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **mapping accurate velocity fields**

#### **infall and outflow structures**

![](_page_51_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

 **mapping accurate velocity fields**

 **infall and outflow structures**

 **dynamical mass estimate (dark matter)**

![](_page_52_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_3.jpeg)

 **infall and outflow structures**

 **dynamical mass estimate (dark matter)**

![](_page_53_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_5.jpeg)

 **mapping accurate velocity fields**

 **infall and outflow structures**

 **dynamical mass estimate (dark matter)**

 **tidal streams**

 **merger events timing**

![](_page_54_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_3.jpeg)

 **infall and outflow structures**

 **dynamical mass estimate (dark matter)**

 **tidal streams**

 **merger events timing**

![](_page_55_Picture_8.jpeg)

Green Bank Telescope - West Virginia 100 mt diameter Receivers: 0.3 / 100 GHz  $FWHM \sim 13/f_{GHz}$  arcmin

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

Arecibo Observatory - Puerto Rico 220mt diameter Receivers: 0.3 / 10 GHz  $FWHM \sim 3.5$  arcmin@21cm (HI)

![](_page_57_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_1.jpeg)

Arecibo Observatory - Puerto Rico 220mt diameter Receivers: 0.3 / 10 GHz  $FWHM \sim 3.5$  arcmin@21cm (HI)

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

FAST Observatory - China 500mt diameter Receivers: 1050 / 1450 GHz Multi-beam (19 beams)  $FWHM \sim 3$  arcmin@21cm (HI)

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

Very Large Array - New Mexico 27 Antennae x 25mt diameter A-to-D configurations (0.6/21km) Receivers: 73 MHz / 50 GHz Field of view  $\sim 45/f_{\text{GHz}}$  arcmin  $Resolution \sim \Theta_{\text{arcsec}} \approx 2\lambda$ cm<sup>/D</sup>km

![](_page_60_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_5.jpeg)

ALMA – Chile NRAO/ESO/NAOJ/TAIWAN/CHILE 50 Antennas x 12mt diameter Many configurations (0.15/16km) Receivers: 31 / 1000 GHz Atacama Compact Array (ACA) 4/12 antennae x 12/7mt

![](_page_61_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_1.jpeg)

- LOFAR Low Frequency Array
- European Cosortium (ASTRON)
- 36+ (aperture array) Stations Covering many baselines: (18) 2/3 km
	- (10+) 50 km (++) 1500 km
- Receivers: LBA (10-90MHz) HBA (110-250 MHz)

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

Australian SKA Pathfinder – ASKAP 36 Antennas x 12mt diameter Phased array feeds 36 beams 30 square degrees field-of-view Max Baseline 6.5 km Receivers: 0.7/1.8 GHz

![](_page_63_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Australian SKA Pathfinder 36 Antennas x 12mt diameter Phased array feeds 36 beams

30 state de greek field-of-viewer field-of-viewer field-of-viewer field-of-viewer field-of-viewer field-of-view

Max Baseline 6.5 km and the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

Received and the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

![](_page_64_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Atomic Hydrogen (HI) in the SMC

![](_page_64_Picture_1.jpeg)

MeerKAT – SKA South Africa 64 Antennas x 13.5mt diameter Max Baseline 8 km Receivers: 0.6/14.5 GHz

![](_page_65_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_1.jpeg)

MW 1.4 GHz continuum

### **Present and future of radio astronomy**

![](_page_66_Picture_1.jpeg)

## MeerKAT - South Africa 64 Antennas x 13.5mt diameter **x Baseline 8 km** ceivers: 0.6/14.5 GHz

#### How does SKA1 compare with the world's biggest radio telescopes?

**SKA1 LOW** 419,000m<sup>2</sup>  $\sim$ 130,000 antennas **SKA1 MID** South Africa 33,000m<sup>2</sup>  $\sim$  200 dishes

> **ASKAP** 4,000m<sup>2</sup> 36 dishes

**MeerKAT**<br>South Africa  $9,000m^3$ 64 dishes

**JVLA**<br>Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array, USA **13,200m<sup>a</sup>** 27 dishes

**ARRAYS** 

#### **MID FREQUENCIES**

A telescope's capacity to receive faint signals - called sensitivity - depends on its collecting area, the bigger the better. But just like you can't compare radio telescopes and optical telescopes, comparison only works between telescopes working in similar frequencies, hence the different categories above.

**MARTIN** 

**NRT**<br>Nancay Radi

**7.000m<sup>2</sup>** 

At 110 MHz

#### LOW **FREQUENCIES**

The Square Kilometre Array (SKA) will be the world's largest radio telescope, revolutionising our understanding of the Universe. The SKA will be built in two phases - SKA1 and SKA2 - starting in 2018, with SKA1 representing a fraction of the full SKA. SKA1 will include two instruments -SKA1 MID and SKA1 LOW - observing the Universe at different frequencies.

**MWA** 

 $2,500m^2$ 

2048 antennas

LOFAR<br>Low Frequency Array for Radio

52,000m<sup>2</sup>

48,000m<sup>2</sup>

30 dishes

GMRT

**34,000 antennas** 

![](_page_67_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_14.jpeg)

The collecting area is just one aspect of a telescope's capability though. Arrays like the SKA have an advantage over single dish telescopes: by being spread over long distances, they simulate a virtual dish the size of that distance and so can see smaller details in the sky, this is called resolution.